


NYSARH 2019 POLICY FORUM PANEL EVALUATION ANALYSIS


Rural Emergency Medical Services

20 respondents out of 36 signed in; some evaluations incomplete

1. Did the speakers share and meet the learning objectives for the session?




2. Did the session meet your personal learning needs?



Comments:

- *Very happy the gentleman from Vermont was here and that we could learn from their progress.*
- *Interesting info about a topic I am not very familiar with; would have liked to hear more specifics about policy and audience discussion about this, specifically how to advocate and solve these problems.*
- *Great presentation.*
- *Excellent!*


3. For this session, check all that apply.




4. How will you use this information in new or existing initiatives?

- a. Para-medicine will be focused on how to incorporate EMS
- b. Reach out to my personal life EMS as well as the EMS agencies in my professional capacity
- c. Reach out to partner rural health network who help with EMS to see what they are working on and see where we can support our local EMS
- d. Not sure yet.
- e. Create closer relationships with EMS and 911 center officials.
- f. Glad this is a policy priority/focus for NYSARH.
- g. Reach out to local EMS again.
- h. Support the policy needs.
- i. Explore ways to help support the EMS system.
- j. Wish to change the whole structure of my Rescue Squad.
- k. My agency is a program agency for the state. We are going to focus on leadership in EMS.
- l. Advocate for EMS; telepsychology for mental health courses

5. Rate the overall quality of the session.




6. Rate each speaker. 5 = Excellent, 1 = Poor, 0 = Not Applicable




Comments:


- *Good job moderating panel*





Jason Haag



Ann Smith






Comments:

- *Questions only allowed at end*


7. Was the facility conducive to learning?



Comments:

- *Cold; very large space*
- *Could have been in a much smaller room; the temp was too cold*
- *Too cold*
- *Cascades 1 consistently had way too many seats for the number of attendees*
- *It got warmer*
- *Room was cold*
- *Chilly though!*


8. Was the program fair, balanced and non-commercial?



Comments:

- *Everyone did a good job. The business owner mentioned his "private company" more than was required.*

9. Was the session too long, too short, or just right?



10. Additional comments.

- a. *Maybe if there was a presentation/slide deck, it may have been more engaging; or having each speaker go to the podium*
- b. *Excellent, enlightening, good call to action*
- c. *Great selection/diversity of panelists & experiences*
- d. *Much more work and collaborative forums/work need to happen between the EMS sector and Rural Health stakeholders*
- e. *Awesome!*
- f. *Thank you*
- g. *Best presentation of the conference. Well put together and thoughtfully moderated. I have a much better understanding of the scope of the problem.*
- h. *Insightful list of concerns*
- i. *Very good and important topic*
- j. *Keep some focus on EMS at all conference and make it an earlier slot to improve attendance*